



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/486,497 02/28/2000 ALEXANDER VAN DER VEKENS P00,0062 9888 7590 01/06/2005 EXAMINER Morrison & Foerster LLP WANG, LIANG CHE A 1650 Tysons Boulevard ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Suite 300 McLean, VA 22102 2155

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/486,497	VAN DER VEKENS, ALEXANDER
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Liang-che Alex Wang	2155
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 09 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 December 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3 and 5-7</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on 14 February 2003 is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.		
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		

4 4 1

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues the examiner assumes the predefined network profile was defined by a user's selection but that is no supported by the text, and no mention is made of how the service was in fact negotiated. This is not found persuasive because Dunn's service and transmission path was negotiated by the user and the service provider (Col 4 lines 43-15), it is clear that when the user is negotiating a service, a user's request must be made to the service provider in order for the negotiation to happen, and this is how the service was negotiated. Applicant also argues there is no need for real-time altering of a user profile, since there is no need of a profile for the user. This is not found persuasive because Dunn is providing a system that has more features than the claimed invention, but however, Dunn teached the is no subsequent negotiation of Qos parameters since all the available selections are already negotiated (Col 4 lines 41-45, Dunn further gives an option for change if there is a need for change (Col4 lines 45-46.)

E.

HOSAIN ALAM

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER